UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,593	02/27/2004	W. Brian Christie	283359-00338	7942
Kirk D. Houser	7590 07/11/20	07	EXAM	IINER
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC			PIPALA, EDWARD J	
44th Floor 600 Grant St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA	15219		3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A. C. O.	10/789,593	CHRISTIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Edward Pipala	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>pril 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·— ··	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7-23,25-36 and 42 is/are pending in t	he application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 7-23,25-36 and 42 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	••			
•	n olootion roquiromanti.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		- Evaminar			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 2/27/04 is/are: a) ☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a	)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r priority under 50 0.5.6. § 715(d	, (4) 3. (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
A44k		·			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Faterit Application			

Art Unit: 3663

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/16/07 has been entered.

Claims 7-23, 25-36 and 42 are presently pending.

# Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's IDS filed 2/5/07 has been fully considered by the Examiner as indicated by the accompanying initialed copy of Applicant's form PTO-1449.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10, 17-23, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scaer et al (USPN 6,871,137) in view of Hawthorne et al. (US Pub. 2002/0010531 A1).

With respect to independent claims 7 and 29, which essentially recite a geographic information display system and database for "displaying said geographic information

Art Unit: 3663

regarding said track section occupied by said train with said geographic information regarding said static roadway data and said static track data", once the geographic starting and ending position of a track section occupied by the train are determined.

Scaer et al. disclose a web-based transportation decision support system and geographic information system (GIS) application that uses information available from federal, state, local, and commercial transportation sources, and provides users with detailed road and rail information about routes and infrastructure characteristics, as well as real-time information from cameras, speed sensors, construction and accident reporting systems, and GIS based weather. Additionally, the invention provides users with the immediate ability to track and report surface shipments on an extremely accurate spatial data background. However, even though Scaer et al. discloses providing users with detailed road and rail information and real time tracking, Scaer et al. does not disclose relating the detected position of a train with respect to a particular section of track, per se.

Hawthorne et al. disclose a method of determining train and track characteristics using navigational data, in part by two or more position determining devices at spaced locations along the train, thereby determining the location of the train on the track by comparing the collected position data with previously stored track profiles and characteristics (section 0011 and sections 0034 -0036). Further, figure 2 shows relating the beginning and ending positions of a section of track with GPS coordinates in the form of latitude and longitude.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the GPS track section location teaching of Hawthorne et al., within the context of the GIS and web-based information system of Scaer et al., primarily

Art Unit: 3663

because Scaer et al. already teaches tracking of vehicle and shipments in conjunction with display thereof on a detailed road and rail network, but also because the GIS data for the road and rail information normally includes GPS/latitude-longitude position information as part of its format and design.

With respect to claims 8-10 and 17-23 which recite the use of latitude and longitude coordinates to identify nodes or sections and the display of same, as well as reciting that the geographic information system database is comprised of separate layers for roadway and track data, respectively, please see the section of Scaer et al. starting at the heading Summary of the Invention (col. 2, I. 30) through to the bottom of column 3. Additionally, column 10, line 30 through col. 11 line 11 and in particular col. 12, II. 29-50 (wrt data layers and the display of rail information).

Claims 11-16, 24 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scaer et al. and Hawthorne et al., as applied to claims 7-10 and 17-23 above, and further in view of Kane et al. (US Pub. 2004/0182969 A1).

The combination of Scaer et al. and Hawthorne et al. discussed above with respect to claim 7 provides for display of a section of track which a train is determined to be occupying, but does not provide or disclose determining the starting/ending positions of "another track section", a "cleared" track section to be occupied by the train at a future time, a "planned" track section, or the position of a second train on a second section of track.

Kane et al. discloses a train control "block" system (as shown in figure 2), in which train position information used in conjunction with track information to determine the status of the next (cleared/another) section of track, and a yet "further" or "cleared" section of track.

Art Unit: 3663

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have been aware and have used the known train management and control (block) system of Kane et al., within the context of the above combination of Scaer et al. and Hawthorne et al., at least because all are in the same field of train position location and control, and because Scaer et al. in particular teaches the use of vehicle dispatch systems and real time tracking.

With respect to claims 11-13 which recite determining another track section is occupied and yet another cleared, and claims 14-16 which recite similar subject matter but also with the use of colors to designate these three block track sections, please see sections 0012-0016 of Kane et al. which disclose the use of the colors green, yellow and red in designating whether sections of track are occupied or ready to be occupied by the train.

With respect to claim 24, once again reciting determining and display of occupancy of track sections, please see the same sections as noted supra.

With respect to claim 42, which recites determining the geographic information regarding first and second track sections for first and second trains from the starting and ending positions of the respective track sections, this would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in that it would simply entail tracking the starting and ending locations of two trains instead of just one, and because Kane et al. already teaches in the latter part of section 16 that "a central authority monitors the locations of trains in the system and instructs the switches 32, 42, 52 to transmit a message as the train approaches".

Art Unit: 3663

Claims 31-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scaer et al. and Hawthorne et al., as applied to claims 7-10, 17-23, 26-30 above, and further in view of Rutledge et al. (USPN 6,650,998).

With respect to claim 31, the combination of Scaer et al. and Hawthorne et al. discussed above with respect to claims 29 and 30 above, provides for a web-based geographic information system which provides users with tracking and track section information for displaying the location of a train, but does not particularly address the claimed issues with respect to a translation routine for relating track starting and ending positions with the geographic information contained in the GIS data record file.

Rutledge et al. also discloses an information search and display system, as well as explaining the process of displaying multiple layers of GIS information through the conventional techniques of using vector and raster formats (col. 4, II. 16-64). In col. 6, II. 11-22, Rutledge et al. discloses that the data is geo-referenced to a common coordinate system and that the map database is represented as an object-oriented database in which each map record or tile consists of a list of objects (as shown in figures 8 and 9 and which is further discussed in from the bottom of col. 9 through line 56 of col. 10).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made use of the teachings of Rutledge et al. with respect to display presentation of a layered GIS based information search system so as to provide a visible representation of latitude and longitude related information, because these principles would most likely have been used to display the layered GIS database information of Scaer et al. as well.

Art Unit: 3663

With respect to claim 32, and the recitation of an image generator routine, please see previously noted col. 4, Il. 16-64 of Rutledge et al.

With respect to claim 33 and the recitation of associating geographic areas with starting longitude and starting latitude, etc., previously noted line 31-36 of column 3 of Rutledge et al., discloses use of a database having location/coordinates, whereas it was also previously noted that longitude/latitude coordinates and part of the GIS format for data elements and their files, within the separate layers of road and rail data.

With respect to claim 34-36, and the recitations of a global communication network, web browser, display applets and streaming vector based display outputs for displaying train position, for the most part please see figure 1 of Rutledge et al., as well as the bottom of column 3 and most of column 4, which disclose the conventional manner in which GIS type spatial data is displayed when it is constructed of a multitude of user selectable layers.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's amendments to the claims essentially appear to incorporate the subject matter of canceled claim 24, and incorporate it as the now recited first and second track sections, and their respective end positions or nodes.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Pipala whose telephone number is 571-272-1360.

Art Unit: 3663

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward Pipala

Art Unit 3663